1 Attachment



Feedback Proposed Amendments to the Preservatives in Food Regulation (Cap. 132BD).xlsx

Dear Sir/Madam,

On behalf of Kerry APMEA, please find our feedback as attached on the Proposed Amendments to the Preservatives in Food Regulation (Cap. 132BD) that is currently open for consultation.

We have some proposed revisions with explanations attached.

Should further clarification be needed, please feel free to reach out to us.

Thank you.

Regards, **Gau Wen Lim** Regulatory Affairs

Kerry, Taste and Nutrition (APMEA)



Current Draft			Proposed Revision	Justification
Column 4 Notes for Rosemary Extract: Currently no notes specified		To add below note in blue under Column 4 Notes for Rosemary Extract: As the sum of carnosol and carnosic acid	As per the Eighty-second report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives and FAO JECFA Monograph 23 (2019), the antioxidant characteristics of rosemary extract are primarily attributed to in the physical difference content, promptly composite acid and corposal.	
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3 Column 4	As the sum of carnosol and carnosic acid	phenolic diterpene content - namely, carnosic acid and carnosol.
No. Food category or sub-category	Permitted food additives Maximum permitted Note			In the market today, rosemary extract is usually standardized using diluents and carriers with a total carnosi acid and carnosol content of varying concentrations, from 5% to 33%. The total carnosic acid and carnosol
	INS ⁵ no. Name	level (mg/kg, unless otherwise		content in rosemary extract differs according to manufacturers. Hence, the maximum permitted level of rosemary extract should be calculated as the sum of carnosol and
	392 Rosemary extract	specified) 300		carnosic acid, so that only the antioxidant component is being considered, and not the diluents/carriers which ave no antioxidant function. This will be in line with international regulations such as Regulation (EC) No 1333/2008 on food additives.
Section 6. Labelling of food containing a perservative or antioxidant			To add in below clauses in blue under Section 6 of CAP 132BD: (4) For food additives with multiple functions, when added into any relevant food and serves as principal function other than antioxidant	Certain food additives can be used for a range of technological purposes in a food, not limited to antioxidan and preservative function. Hence, for food additive listed in the proposed draft but whose principal function serves as function other than antioxidant and preservative in food, it should be exempted from adhering to the labelling requirement of antioxidant and preservatives under Schedule 2.
			and preservative, shall be exempted from the provisions of Schedule 2. (5) Where in accordance with subsection (4) above, product label shall follow CAP 132W Schedule 3(2).	Schedule: 2
antioxida Numberin Item Addit 36. Phosphates (340(i)-(iii); 3 (ii); 343(i)-(i	d preservative or int (International g System for Food ives (INS) no.) 338; 339(i)-(iii); 441(i)-(iii); 342(i)- ii); 450(i)-(iii), (v)- 1(i),(ii); 452(i)-(v);		To remove provisions for phosphates from CAP 132BD altogether.	In CODEX Standard 192-1995 General Sandard for Food Additives, only Phosphoric acid (338) and Trisodium phosphate (339(iii)) have functional class as antioxidant and preservative respectively, while the remaining alternative forms of Phosphates do not function as antioxidant or preservative. To define the entire phosphates group as preservative and antioxidant is therefore inaccurate and it does not reflect the actual technological function used in the industry today. This will also harmonize with Codex as well as other country regulations such as mainland GB 2760-2014 Standard for Use of Food Additives on its technological function as well as functional class labelling.